

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vinguia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE                    |                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|--|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/989,477                                     | 11/20/2001       | Mark S. Johnson      | DB000624-003 9876   |                 |  |
| 24122  | 7590 08 01 2003  |                      |                     |                 |  |
| THORP REI                                      | ED & ARMSTRONG,  | EXAMINER             |                     |                 |  |
| ONE OXFORD CENTRE 301 GRANT STREET, 14TH FLOOR |                  |                      | PERT, EVAN T        |                 |  |
| PITTSBURG                                      | H, PA 15219-1425 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
|  |                  |                      | 2829                |                 |  |

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application                  | No.                  | Applicant(s)                                 | 11 1 |  |  |  |
|---|--|------------------------------|----------------------|--|------|--|--|--|
| ١   |  | 09/989,477                   |                      | JOHNSON ET AL.                               | M    |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner                     |                      | Art Unit                                     |      |  |  |  |
|   |  | Evan Pert                    |                      | 2829   |      |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| 1)[_  | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 July 2003</u> .   |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| 2a)[_   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi   | is action is no              | on-final.            |  |      |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| •   | ion of Claims  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| , <u> </u>  | 4) Claim(s) <u>26-32</u> is/are pending in the application.  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>26 and 28-32</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| ·   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
|   | 6) Claim(s) <u>27</u> is/are rejected.   |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| · · · · · ·   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers   |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
|   | ·  | r                            |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| ,   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |                              |                      |  |      |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> | 4<br>5<br><u>&amp; 7</u> . 6 | Notice of Informal P | (PTO-413) Paper No<br>Patent Application (PT |      |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/989,477

Art Unit: 2829

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 26 and 28-32 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species, *there being no allowable generic* or *linking claim*. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9.

Applicant indicates that claim 27 is generic to and representative of Species I, II and III. However, "generic" claim 27 is *not allowable* such that the totality of claims readable on non-elected species II and III are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim 27 is generic to Species I, II and III, with species I elected without traverse.

Presently, claim 27 is the only claim pending for consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The scope of claim 27 is not clearly defined: For purposes of defining the claimed invention, what distinguishes a "chip scale" as opposed to "not a chip scale"? For purposes of examination, a "chip scale packaged die" is a die with a package outline that can be seen from above as being "on the order of the die".

What is the scope of "having no substrate"? This negative limitation is unclear in the claim context because a "chip" of a chip scale packaged device <u>is</u> a substrate.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/989,477

Art Unit: 2829

Any "package" is a substrate too, or things would not be "supported".

Therefore, for purposes of examination, "having no substrate" in the claim applies to a die (a.k.a. chip) that inherently has electrical connections directly to the chip without an interposer (carrier) wherein the electrical connection structure can not support on its own such as a substrate can.

For purposes of examination, "having no substrate" is interpreted as meaning "having no chip carrier" such as tape, film, ceramic, etc.. Rigid electrical lead structures that are a stand-alone, such as part of a lead frame are considered as being "a substrate."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sawai et al. (U.S. 5,710,062).

Sawai et al. disclose "a chip scale packaged die" (col. 1, lines 13-14) having no substrate (as seen in Figs. 1-2, 6, 17, etc.).

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan Pert whose telephone number is 703-306-5689. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM-3:30 PM).

Application/Control Number: 09/989,477

Art Unit: 2829

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 703-308-1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

ETP July 22, 2003

EVAN PERT